## Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/567,944	FUKUKITA, HIROSHI		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Angela M. Hoffa	3768		

	Angela M. Hoffa	3768			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ars on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 10 August 2009 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.					
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Apper for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit eal (with appeal fee) in compliance	t, or other evidence, w with 37 CFR 41.31; or	which places the (3) a Request		
a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date of the final rejection.					
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire to Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(	dvisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in ter than SIX MONTHS from the mailing b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE f).	date of the final rejection FIRST REPLY WAS FI	on. LED WITHIN TWO		
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  NOTICE OF APPEAL					
<ol> <li>The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exter Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w</li> </ol>	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of the			
<u>AMENDMENTS</u>					
3.   The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, to (a)   They raise new issues that would require further cord (b)   They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c)   They are not deemed to place the application in bet appeal; and/or  (d)   They present additional claims without canceling a control of the control	nsideration and/or search (see NOT w); ter form for appeal by materially rec corresponding number of finally reje	E below); ducing or simplifying th			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).					
4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):					
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).					
7.  For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided that the status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-6. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE		be entered and an e	xplanation of		
8. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, bu	t before or on the data of filing a Ne	stice of Annael will not	· bo ontored		
because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).					
7. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).					
10.  ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached. REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER					
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: <u>See Continuation Sheet.</u>					
12. Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i> (s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)  13. Other:					
/Long V Le/	/A. M. H./				
Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 3768	Examiner, Art Unit 3768				

Continuation of 3. NOTE: The amendments to the claims require the intra-group processors of claim 1 to also perform beamforming. Amendment to Claim 1 further clarifies the selection unit element to include a switch that connects the selected intra-group processor to the cable. These amendments change the scope of the claim and require further consideration and search of the prior art.

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Amendments to the claim 1 change the scope of the claims. New consideration of the claim scope and search of prior art is needed to assess patentability of the claims. Therefore, the same rejection used in the Final rejection mailed on 5/12/09 is upheld and the proposed amendments are not entered at this time.